

Orbit functions of $SU(n)$ and Chebyshev polynomials

Maryna NESTERENKO[†], Jiří PATERA[‡] and Agnieszka TERESZKIEWICZ[§]

[†] Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivs'ka Str., Kyiv-4, 01601 Ukraine

[‡] Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C.P.6128-Centre ville, Montréal, H3C 3J7, Québec, Canada

[§] Institute of Mathematics, University of Białystok, Akademicka 2, PL-15-267 Białystok, Poland
E-mail: maryna@imath.kiev.ua, patera@crm.umontreal.ca, a.tereszkiewicz@uwb.edu.pl

Orbit functions of a simple Lie group/Lie algebra L consist of exponential functions summed up over the Weyl group of L . They are labeled by the highest weights of irreducible finite dimensional representations of L . They are of three types: C -, S - and E -functions. Orbit functions of the Lie algebras A_n , or equivalently, of the Lie group $SU(n+1)$, are considered. First, orbit functions in two different bases – one orthonormal, the other given by the simple roots of $SU(n)$ – are written using the isomorphism of the permutation group of n elements and the Weyl group of $SU(n)$.

Secondly, it is demonstrated that there is a one-to-one correspondence between classical Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind, and C - and S -functions of the simple Lie group $SU(2)$.

It is then shown that the well-known orbit functions of $SU(n)$ are straightforward generalizations of Chebyshev polynomials to $n-1$ variables. Properties of the orbit functions provide a wealth of properties of the polynomials.

Finally, multivariate exponential functions are considered, and their connection with orbit functions of $SU(n)$ is established.

1 Introduction

The history of the Chebyshev polynomials dates back over a century. Their properties and applications have been considered in many papers. We refer to [19, 20] as a basic reference. Studies of polynomials in more than one variable were undertaken by several authors, namely [2–4, 13, 15, 21, 22]. Of these, none follow the path we have laid down here.

In this paper, we demonstrate that the classical Chebyshev polynomials in one variable are naturally associated with the action of the Weyl group of $SU(2)$, or equivalently with the action of the Weyl group $W(A_1)$ of the simple Lie algebra of type A_1 . The association is so simple that it has been ignored so far. However, by making $W(A_1)$ the cornerstone of our rederivation of Chebyshev polynomials, we have gained insight into the structure of the theory of polynomials. In particular, the generalization of Chebyshev polynomials to any number of variables was a straightforward task. It is based on the Weyl group $W(A_n)$, where $n < \infty$. This only recently became possible, after the orbit functions of simple Lie algebras were introduced as useful special functions [18] and studied in great detail and generality [8, 9, 11].

We proceed in three steps. In Section 2, we exploit the isomorphism of the group of permutations of $n+1$ elements S and the Weyl group of $SU(n+1)$, or equivalently of A_n , and define the orbit functions of A_n . This opens the possibility to write the orbit functions in two rather different bases, the orthonormal basis, and the basis determined by the simple roots of A_n , which considerably alters the appearance of the orbit functions. In the paper, we use the non-orthogonal basis because of its direct generalization to simple Lie algebras of other types than A_n .

In Section 3 we consider classical Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind, and compare them with the C - and S -orbit functions of A_1 . We show that polynomials of the

first kind are in one-to-one correspondence with C -functions. Polynomials of the second kind coincide with the appropriate S -function divided by the unique lowest non-trivial S -function. We point out that polynomials of the second kind can be identified as irreducible characters of finite dimensional representations of $SU(2)$. Useful properties of Chebyshev polynomials can undoubtedly be traced to that identification, because the fundamental object of representation theory of semisimple Lie groups/algebras is character. In principle, all one needs to know about an irreducible finite dimensional representation can be deduced from its character. An important aspect of this conclusion is that characters are known and uniformly described for all simple Lie groups/algebras.

In Section 4 we provide details of the recursive procedure from which the analog of the trigonometric form of Chebyshev polynomials in n variables can be found. Thus there are n generic recursion relations for A_n , having at least $n + 2$ terms, and at most $\binom{n+1}{[(n+1)/2]} + 1$ terms. Irreducible polynomials are divided into $n + 1$ exclusive classes with the property that monomials within one irreducible polynomial belong to the same class. This follows directly from the recognition of the presence and properties of the underlying Lie algebra.

In subsection 4.2, the simple substitution $z = e^{2\pi i x}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is used in orbit functions to form analogs of Chebyshev polynomials in n variables in their non-trigonometric form. It is shown that, in the case of 2 variables, our polynomials coincide with those of Koorwider [13](III), although the approach and terminology could not be more different, ours being purely algebraic, having originated in Lie theory.

In Section 5, we present the orbit functions of A_n disguised as polynomials built from multivariate orbit functions of the symmetric group. In Section 2, such a possibility is described in terms of related bases, one orthonormal (symmetric group), the other non-orthogonal (simple roots of A_n and their dual ω -basis). Both forms of the same polynomials appear rather different but may prove useful in different situations.

The last section contains a few comments and some questions related to the subject of this paper that we find intriguing.

2 Preliminaries

This section is intended to fix notation and terminology. We also briefly recall some facts about S_{n+1} and A_n , dwelling particularly on various bases in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$. In Section 2.3, we identify elementary reflections that generate the A_n Weyl group W , with the permutation of two adjacent objects in an ordered set of $n + 1$ objects. And, finally, we present some standard definitions and properties of orbit functions.

2.1 Permutation group S_{n+1}

The group S_{n+1} of order $(n + 1)!$ transforms the ordered number set $[l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n, l_{n+1}]$ by permuting the numbers.

We introduce an orthonormal basis in the real Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,

$$e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n + 1, \quad (1)$$

and use the l_k 's as the coordinates of a point μ in the e -basis:

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} l_k e_k, \quad l_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The group S_{n+1} permutes the coordinates l_k of μ , thus generating other points from it. The set of all distinct points, obtained by application of S_{n+1} to μ , is called the orbit of S_{n+1} . We

denote an orbit by W_λ , where λ is a unique point of the orbit, such that

$$l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \cdots \geq l_n \geq l_{n+1}.$$

If there is no pair of equal l_k 's in λ , the orbit W_λ consists of $(n+1)!$ points.

Further on, we will only consider points μ from the n -dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ defined by the equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} l_k = 0. \quad (2)$$

2.2 Lie algebra A_n

Let us recall basic properties of the simple Lie algebra A_n of the compact Lie group $SU(n+1)$. Consider the general value ($1 \leq n < \infty$) of the rank. The Coxeter-Dynkin diagram, Cartan matrix $\mathfrak{C}$, and inverse Cartan matrix $\mathfrak{C}^{-1}$ of A_n are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \cdots \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_3 \quad \quad \quad \alpha_{n-1} \quad \alpha_n \end{array} \quad \mathfrak{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{C}^{-1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot n & 1 \cdot (n-1) & 1 \cdot (n-2) & 1 \cdot (n-3) & \cdots & 1 \cdot 3 & 1 \cdot 2 & 1 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot (n-1) & 2 \cdot (n-1) & 2 \cdot (n-2) & 2 \cdot (n-3) & \cdots & 2 \cdot 3 & 2 \cdot 2 & 2 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot (n-2) & 2 \cdot (n-2) & 3 \cdot (n-2) & 3 \cdot (n-3) & \cdots & 3 \cdot 3 & 3 \cdot 2 & 3 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot (n-3) & 2 \cdot (n-3) & 3 \cdot (n-3) & 4 \cdot (n-3) & \cdots & 4 \cdot 3 & 4 \cdot 2 & 4 \cdot 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 \cdot 3 & 2 \cdot 3 & 3 \cdot 3 & 4 \cdot 3 & \cdots & (n-2) \cdot 3 & (n-2) \cdot 2 & (n-2) \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 2 & 2 \cdot 2 & 3 \cdot 2 & 4 \cdot 2 & \cdots & (n-2) \cdot 2 & (n-1) \cdot 2 & (n-1) \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 1 & 2 \cdot 1 & 3 \cdot 1 & 4 \cdot 1 & \cdots & (n-2) \cdot 1 & (n-1) \cdot 1 & n \cdot 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The simple roots α_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ of A_n form a basis (α -basis) of a real Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. We choose them in $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This choice fixes the lengths and relative angles of the simple roots. Their length is equal to $\sqrt{2}$ with relative angles between α_k and α_{k+1} ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$) equal to $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ for any other pair.

In addition to e - and α -bases, we introduce the ω -basis as the $\mathbb{Z}$ -dual basis to the simple roots α_i :

$$\langle \alpha_i, \omega_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

It is also a basis in the subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (see (2)). The bases α and ω are related by the Cartan matrix:

$$\alpha = \mathfrak{C}\omega, \quad \omega = \mathfrak{C}^{-1}\alpha.$$

Throughout the paper, we use $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$. Here, we fix the notation for its coordinates relative to the e - and ω -bases:

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} l_j e_j =: (l_1, \dots, l_{n+1})_e = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \omega_i =: (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)_\omega, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} l_i = 0.$$

Consider a point $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$ with coordinates l_j and λ_i in the e - and ω -bases, respectively. Using $\alpha = \mathfrak{C}\omega$, i.e. $\omega_i = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathfrak{C}^{-1})_{ik} \alpha_k$, we obtain the relations between λ_i and l_j :

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \mathfrak{C}_{k1}^{-1}, & l_{n+1} &= - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \mathfrak{C}_{kn}^{-1}, \\ l_j &= \lambda_1 (\mathfrak{C}_{1j}^{-1} - \mathfrak{C}_{1j-1}^{-1}) + \lambda_2 (\mathfrak{C}_{2j}^{-1} - \mathfrak{C}_{2j-1}^{-1}) + \cdots + \lambda_n (\mathfrak{C}_{nj}^{-1} - \mathfrak{C}_{nj-1}^{-1}), & j &= 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

or explicitly,

$$\lambda_i = l_i - l_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

The inverse formulas are much more complicated

$$l = A\lambda, \quad (4)$$

where $l = (l_1, \dots, l_{n+1})$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, and A is the $(n+1) \times n$ matrix:

$$A = \frac{1}{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} n & n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 & n-2 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & \cdots & -(n-1) & 1 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & \cdots & -(n-1) & -n \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.3 The Weyl group of A_n

The Weyl group $W(A_n)$ of order $(n+1)!$ acts in $\mathcal{H}$ by permuting coordinates in the e -basis, i.e. as the group S_{n+1} . Indeed, let r_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ be the generating elements of $W(A_n)$, i.e. reflections with respect to the hyperplanes perpendicular to α_i and passing through the origin. Let $x = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k e_k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})_e$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product. We then have the reflection by r_i :

$$\begin{aligned} r_i x &= x - \frac{2}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle} \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})_e - (x_i - x_{i+1})(e_i - e_{i+1}) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_i, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{n+1})_e. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Such transpositions generate the full permutation group S_{n+1} . Thus, $W(A_n)$ is isomorphic to S_{n+1} , and the points of the orbit $W_\lambda(S_{n+1})$ and $W_\lambda(A_n)$ coincide.

2.4 Definitions of orbit functions

The notion of an orbit function in n variables depends essentially on the underlying semisimple Lie group G of rank n . In our case, $G = \text{SU}(n+1)$ (equivalently, Lie algebra A_n). Let the basis of the simple roots be denoted by α , and the basis of fundamental weights by ω .

The *weight lattice* P is formed by all integer linear combinations of the ω -basis,

$$P = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\omega_n.$$

In the weight lattice P , we define the *cone of dominant weights* P^+ and its subset of strictly dominant weights P^{++}

$$P \supset P^+ = \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\omega_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\omega_n \supset P^{++} = \mathbb{Z}^{> 0}\omega_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}^{> 0}\omega_n.$$

Hereafter, $W^e \subset W$ denotes the *even subgroup* of the Weyl group formed by an even number of reflections that generate W . W_λ and W_λ^e are the corresponding group orbits of a point $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We also introduce the notion of fundamental region $F(G) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For A_n the *fundamental region* F is the convex hull of the vertices $\{0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$.

Definition 1. The C orbit function $C_\lambda(x)$, $\lambda \in P^+$ is defined as

$$C_\lambda(x) := \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda(G)} e^{2\pi i \langle \mu, x \rangle}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6)$$

Definition 2. The S orbit function $S_\lambda(x)$, $\lambda \in P^{++}$ is defined as

$$S_\lambda(x) := \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda(G)} (-1)^{p(\mu)} e^{2\pi i \langle \mu, x \rangle}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (7)$$

where $p(\mu)$ is the number of reflections necessary to obtain μ from λ . Of course the same μ can be obtained by different successions of reflections, but all routes from λ to μ will have a length of the same parity, and thus the salient detail given by $p(\mu)$, in the context of an S -function, is meaningful and unchanging.

Definition 3. We define E orbit function $E_\lambda(x)$, $\lambda \in P^e$ as

$$E_\lambda(x) := \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda^e(G)} e^{2\pi i \langle \mu, x \rangle}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (8)$$

where $P^e := P^+ \cup r_i P^+$ and r_i is a reflection from W .

If we always suppose that $\lambda, \mu \in P$ are given in the ω -basis, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given in the α basis, namely $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \omega_j$, $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \omega_j$, $\lambda_j, \mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \alpha_j$, $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$, then the orbit functions of A_n have the following forms

$$C_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j x_j} = \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda} \prod_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i \mu_j x_j}, \quad (9)$$

$$S_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda} (-1)^{p(\mu)} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j x_j} = \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda} (-1)^{p(\mu)} \prod_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i \mu_j x_j}, \quad (10)$$

$$E_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda^e} e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j x_j} = \sum_{\mu \in W_\lambda^e} \prod_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i \mu_j x_j}. \quad (11)$$

2.5 Some properties of orbit functions

For S functions, the number of summands is always equal to the size of the Weyl group. Note that in the 1-dimensional case, C -, S - and E -functions are respectively a cosine, a sine and an exponential functions up to the constant.

All three families of orbit functions are based on semisimple Lie algebras. The number of variables coincides with the rank of the Lie algebra. In general, C -, S - and E - functions are finite sums of exponential functions. Therefore they are continuous and have continuous derivatives of all orders in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The S -functions are antisymmetric with respect to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional boundary of F . Hence they are zero on the boundary of F . The C -functions are symmetric with respect to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional boundary of F . Their normal derivative at the boundary is equal to zero (because the normal derivative of a C -function is an S -function).

For simple Lie algebras of any type, the functions $C_\lambda(x)$, $E_\lambda(x)$ and $S_\lambda(x)$ are eigenfunctions of the appropriate Laplace operator. The Laplace operator has the same eigenvalues on every exponential function summand of an orbit function with eigenvalue $-4\pi \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle$.

2.5.1 Orthogonality

For any two complex squared integrable functions $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ defined on the fundamental region F , we define a continuous scalar product

$$\langle \phi(x), \psi(x) \rangle := \int_F \phi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} dx. \quad (12)$$

Here, integration is carried out with respect to the Euclidean measure, the bar means complex conjugation and $x \in F$, where F is the fundamental region of either W or W^e (note that the fundamental region of W^e is $F^e = F \cup r_i F$, where $r_i \in W$).

Any pair of orbit functions from the same family is orthogonal on the corresponding fundamental region with respect to the scalar product (12), namely

$$\langle C_\lambda(x), C_{\lambda'}(x) \rangle = |W_\lambda| \cdot |F| \cdot \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad (13)$$

$$\langle S_\lambda(x), S_{\lambda'}(x) \rangle = |W| \cdot |F| \cdot \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad (14)$$

$$\langle E_\lambda(x), E_{\lambda'}(x) \rangle = |W_\lambda^e| \cdot |F^e| \cdot \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad (15)$$

where $\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$ is the Kronecker delta, $|W|$ is the order of the Weyl group, $|W_\lambda|$ and $|W_\lambda^e|$ are the sizes of the Weyl group orbits (the number of distinct points in the orbit), and $|F|$ and $|F^e|$ are volumes of fundamental regions. The volume $|F|$ was calculated in [6].

Proof. Proof of the relations (13,14,15) follows from the orthogonality of the usual exponential functions and from the fact that a given weight $\mu \in P$ belongs to precisely one orbit function. $\square$

The families of C -, S - and E -functions are complete on the fundamental domain. The completeness of these systems follows from the completeness of the system of exponential functions; i.e., there does not exist a function $\phi(x)$, such that $\langle \phi(x), \phi(x) \rangle > 0$, and at the same time $\langle \phi(x), \psi(x) \rangle = 0$ for all functions $\psi(x)$ from the same system.

2.5.2 Orbit functions of A_n acting in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

Relations (4) allow us to rewrite variables λ and x in an orbit function in the e -basis. Therefore we can obtain the C -, S - and E - functions acting in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$C_\lambda(x) = \sum_{s \in S_{n+1}} e^{2\pi i(s(\lambda), x)}, \quad (16)$$

$$C_\lambda(x) = \sum_{s \in S_{n+1}} (\text{sgn } s) e^{2\pi i(s(\lambda), x)}, \quad (17)$$

$$E_\lambda(x) = \sum_{s \in \text{Alt}_{n+1}} e^{2\pi i(s(\lambda), x)}, \quad (18)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\text{sgn } s$ is the permutation sign, and Alt_{n+1} is the alternating group acting on an $(n+1)$ -tuple of numbers. Note that variables x and λ are in the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$.

Using the identity $\langle \lambda, r_i x \rangle = \langle r_i \lambda, x \rangle$ for the reflection r_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, it can be verified that

$$C_\lambda(r_i x) = C_{r_i \lambda}(x) = C_\lambda(x), \quad \text{and} \quad S_{r_i \lambda}(x) = S_\lambda(r_i x) = -S_\lambda(x). \quad (19)$$

Note that it is easy to see for generic points that $E_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2}(C_\lambda(x) + S_\lambda(x))$, and from the relations (19), we obtain

$$E_{r_i \lambda}(x) = E_\lambda(r_i x) = \frac{1}{2}(C_\lambda(x) - S_\lambda(x)) = E_\lambda(x). \quad (20)$$

A number of other properties of orbit functions are presented in [8, 9, 11].

3 Orbit functions and Chebyshev polynomials

We recall known properties of Chebyshev polynomials [19] in order to be subsequently able to make an unambiguous comparison between them and the appropriate orbit functions.

3.1 Classical Chebyshev polynomials

Chebyshev polynomials are orthogonal polynomials which are usually defined recursively. One distinguishes between Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind T_n :

$$T_0(x) = 1, \quad T_1(x) = x, \quad T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n - T_{n-1}, \quad (21)$$

$$\text{hence } T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1, \quad T_3(x) = 4x^3 - 3x, \dots \quad (22)$$

and Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind U_n :

$$U_0(x) = 1, \quad U_1(x) = 2x, \quad U_{n+1}(x) = 2xU_n - U_{n-1}, \quad (23)$$

$$\text{in particular } U_2(x) = 4x^2 - 1, \quad U_3(x) = 8x^3 - 4x, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (24)$$

The polynomials T_n and U_n are of degree n in the variable x . All terms in a polynomial have the parity of n . The coefficient of the leading term of T_n is 2^{n-1} and 2^n for U_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The roots of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are widely used as nodes for polynomial interpolation in approximation theory. The Chebyshev polynomials are a special case of Jacobi polynomials. They are orthogonal with the following weight functions:

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T_n(x) T_m(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq m, \\ \pi, & n = m = 0, \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & n = m \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1-x^2} U_n(x) U_m(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq m, \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & n = m. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

There are other useful relations between Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind.

$$\frac{d}{dx} T_n(x) = n U_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (27)$$

$$T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} (U_n(x) - U_{n-2}(x)), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (28)$$

$$T_{n+1}(x) = xT_n(x) - (1-x^2)U_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (29)$$

$$T_n(x) = U_n(x) - xU_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (30)$$

3.1.1 Trigonometric form of Chebyshev polynomials

Using trigonometric variable $x = \cos y$, polynomials of the first kind become

$$T_n(x) = T_n(\cos y) = \cos(ny), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (31)$$

and polynomials of the second kind are written as

$$U_n(x) = U_n(\cos y) = \frac{\sin((n+1)y)}{\sin y}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (32)$$

For example, the first few lowest polynomials are

$$T_0(x) = T_0(\cos y) = \cos(0y) = 1, \quad T_1(x) = T_1(\cos y) = \cos(y) = x,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2(x) &= T_2(\cos y) = \cos(2y) = \cos^2 y - \sin^2 y = 2\cos^2 y - 1 = 2x^2 - 1; \\
U_0(x) &= U_0(\cos y) = \frac{\sin y}{\sin y} = 1, \quad U_1(x) = U_1(\cos y) = \frac{\sin(2y)}{\sin y} = 2\cos y = 2x, \\
U_2(x) &= U_2(\cos y) = \frac{\sin(3y)}{\sin y} = \frac{\sin(2y)\cos y + \sin y\cos(2y)}{\sin y} = 4\cos^2 y - 1 = 4x^2 - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Orbit functions of A_1 and Chebyshev polynomials

Let us consider the orbit functions of one variable. There is only one simple Lie algebra of rank 1, namely A_1 . Our aim is to build the recursion relations in a way that generalizes to higher rank groups, unlike the standard relations of the classical theory presented above.

3.2.1 Orbit functions of A_1 and trigonometric form of T_n and U_n

The orbit of $\lambda = m\omega_1$ has two points for $m \neq 0$, namely $W_\lambda = \{(m), (-m)\}$. The orbit of $\lambda = 0$ has just one point, $W_0 = \{0\}$.

One-dimensional orbit functions have the form (see (9), (10), (11))

$$C_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi imx} + e^{-2\pi imx} = 2\cos(2\pi mx) = 2\cos(my), \quad \text{where } y = 2\pi x, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}; \quad (33)$$

$$S_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi imx} - e^{-2\pi imx} = 2i\sin(2\pi mx) = 2i\sin(my), \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}^{> 0}; \quad (34)$$

$$E_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi imx} = y^m, \quad \text{where } y = e^{2\pi ix}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (35)$$

From (33) and (31) it directly follows that polynomials generated from C_m functions of A_1 are doubled Chebyshev polynomials T_m of the first kind for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Analogously, from (34) and (32), it follows that polynomials $\frac{S_{m+1}}{S_1}$ are Chebyshev polynomials U_m of the second kind for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

The polynomials generated from E_m functions of A_1 , form a standard monomial sequence y^m , $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which is the basis for the vector space of polynomials.

C - and S -orbit functions are orthogonal on the interval $F = [0, 1]$ (see (13) and (14)) what implies the orthogonality of the corresponding polynomials.

Comparing the properties of one-dimensional orbit functions with properties of Chebyshev polynomials, we conclude that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the Chebyshev polynomials and the orbit functions.

3.2.2 Orbit functions of A_1 and their polynomial form

In this subsection, we start a derivation of the A_1 polynomials in a way which emphasizes the role of the Lie algebra and, more importantly, in a way that directly generalizes to simple Lie algebras of any rank n and any type, resulting in polynomials of n variables and of a new type for each algebra. In the present case of A_1 , this leads us to a different normalization of the polynomials and their trigonometric variables than is common for classical Chebyshev polynomials. No new polynomials emerge than those equivalent to Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind. Insight is nevertheless gained into the structure of the problem, which, to us, turned out to be of considerable importance. We are inclined to consider the Chebyshev polynomials, in the form derived here, as the canonical polynomials.

The underlying Lie algebra A_1 is often denoted $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ or $su(2)$. In fact, this case is so simple that the presence of the Lie algebras has never been acknowledged.

The orbit functions of A_1 are of two types (33) and (34); in particular, $C_0(x) = 2$, and $S_0(x) = 0$ for all x .

The simplest substitution of variables to transform the orbit functions into polynomials is $y = e^{2\pi ix}$, monomials in such a polynomial are y^m and y^{-m} . Instead, we introduce new ('trigonometric') variables X and Y as follows:

$$X := C_1(x) = e^{2\pi ix} + e^{-2\pi ix} = 2 \cos(2\pi x), \quad (36)$$

$$Y := S_1(x) = e^{2\pi ix} - e^{-2\pi ix} = 2i \sin(2\pi x). \quad (37)$$

We can now start to construct polynomials recursively in the degrees of X and Y , by calculating the products of the appropriate orbit functions. Omitting the dependence on x from the symbols, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 &= C_2 + 2 &\implies C_2 &= X^2 - 2, \\ XC_2 &= C_3 + X &\implies C_3 &= X^3 - 3X, \\ XC_m &= C_{m+1} + C_{m-1} &\implies C_{m+1} &= XC_m - C_{m-1}, \quad m \geq 3. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Therefore, we obtain the following recursive polynomial form of the C -functions

$$C_0 = 2, \quad C_1 = X, \quad C_2 = X^2 - 2, \quad C_3 = X^3 - 3X, \quad C_4 = X^4 - 4X^2 + 2, \dots \quad (39)$$

After the substitution $z = \frac{1}{2}X$ we have

$$C_0 = 2 \cdot 1, \quad C_1 = 2z, \quad C_2 = 2(2z^2 - 1), \quad C_3 = 2(4z^3 - 3z), \quad C_4 = 2(8z^4 - 8z^2 + 1), \dots$$

Hence we conclude that $C_m = 2T_m$, for $m = 0, 1, \dots$

Remark 1.

In our opinion, the normalization of orbit functions is also more 'natural' for the Chebyshev polynomials. For example, the equality $C_2^2 = C_4 + 2$ does not hold for T_2 and T_4 .

Remark 2.

Each C_m also can be written as a polynomial of degree m in X, Y and S_{m-1} . It suffices to consider the products YS_m , e.g., $C_2 = Y^2 + 2$, $C_3 = YS_2 + X$, etc. Equating the polynomials obtained in such a way with the corresponding polynomials from (38), we obtain a trigonometric identity for each m . For example, we find two ways to write C_2 , one from the product X^2 and one from Y^2 . Equating the two, we get

$$X^2 - Y^2 = 4 \iff \sin^2(2\pi x) + \cos^2(2\pi x) = 1$$

because Y is defined in (37) to be purely imaginary.

Just as the polynomials representing C_m were obtained above, it is possible to find polynomial expressions for S_m for all m .

Fundamental relations between the S - and C - orbit functions follow from the properties of the character $\chi_m(x)$ of the irreducible representation of A_1 of dimension $m + 1$.

The character can be written in two ways: as in the Weyl character formula and also as the sum of appropriate C -functions. Explicitly, we have the A_1 character:

$$\chi_m(x) = \frac{S_{m+1}(x)}{S_1(x)} = C_m(x) + C_{m-2}(x) + \dots + \begin{cases} C_2(x) + 1 & \text{for } m \text{ even,} \\ C_3(x) + C_1(x) & \text{for } m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let us write down a few characters

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0 &= \frac{S_1(x)}{S_1(x)} = 1, \quad \chi_1 = \frac{S_2(x)}{S_1(x)} = C_1 = X, \quad \chi_2 = \frac{S_3(x)}{S_1(x)} = C_2 + C_0 = X^2 - 1, \\ \chi_3 &= \frac{S_4(x)}{S_1(x)} = C_3 + C_1 = X^3 - 2X, \quad \chi_4 = \frac{S_5(x)}{S_1(x)} = C_4 + C_2 + C_0 = X^4 - 3X^2 + 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Again, the substitution $z = \frac{1}{2}X$ transforms these polynomials into the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $\frac{S_{m+1}}{S_1} = U_m$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$, indeed

$$\frac{S_1(x)}{S_1(x)} = 1, \quad \frac{S_2(x)}{S_1(x)} = 2z, \quad \frac{S_3(x)}{S_1(x)} = 4z^2 - 1, \quad \frac{S_4(x)}{S_1(x)} = 8z^3 - 4z, \quad \frac{S_5(x)}{S_1(x)} = 16z^4 - 12z^2 + 1, \dots$$

Remark 3.

Note that in the character formula we used $C_0 = 1$, while above (see (11) and (39)) we used $C_0 = 2$. It is just a question of normalization of orbit functions. For some applications/calculations it is convenient to scale orbit functions of non-generic points on the factor equal to the order of the stabilizer of that point in the Weyl group $W(A_1)$.

4 Orbit functions of A_n and their polynomials

This section proposes two approaches to constructing orthogonal polynomials of n variables based on orbit functions. The first comes from the decomposition of Weyl orbit products into sums of orbits. Its result is the analog of the trigonometric form of the Chebyshev polynomials. The second approach is the exponential substitution in [8].

4.1 Recursive construction

Since the C - and S - functions are defined for A_n of any rank $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, it is natural to take C -functions and the ratio of S -functions as multidimensional generalizations of Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds respectively

$$T_\lambda(x) := C_\lambda(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ U_\lambda(x) := \frac{S_{\lambda+\rho}(x)}{S_\rho(x)}, \quad \rho = \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_n = (1, 1, \dots, 1)_\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where λ is one of the dominant weights of A_n .

The functions T_λ and U_λ can be constructed as polynomials using the recursive scheme proposed in Section 3.2.2. In the n -dimensional case of orbit functions of A_n , we start from the n orbit functions labeled by the fundamental weights,

$$X_1 := C_{\omega_1}(x), \quad X_2 := C_{\omega_2}(x), \quad \dots, \quad X_n := C_{\omega_n}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By multiplying them and decomposing the products into the sum of orbit functions, we build the polynomials for any C - and S -function.

The generic recursion relations are found as the decomposition of the products $X_{\omega_j} C_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}$ with ‘sufficiently large’ $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$. Such a recursion relation has $\binom{n+1}{j} + 1$ terms, where $\binom{n+1}{j}$ is the size of the orbit of ω_j .

An efficient way to find the decompositions is to work with products of Weyl group orbits, rather than with orbit functions. Their decomposition has been studied, and many examples have been described in [5]. It is useful to be aware of the congruence class of each product, because all of the orbits in its decomposition necessarily belong to that class. The *congruence number* $\#$ of an orbit λ of A_n , which is also the congruence number of the orbit functions C_λ and S_λ , specifies the class. It is calculated as follows,

$$\#(C_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}(x)) = \#(S_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \mod (n+1). \quad (40)$$

In particular, each X_j , where $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is in its own congruence class. During the multiplication, congruence numbers add up $\mod n+1$.

Polynomials in two and three variables originating from orbit functions of the simple Lie algebras A_2 , C_2 , G_2 , A_3 , B_3 , and C_3 are obtained in the forthcoming paper [17].

4.2 Exponential substitution

There is another approach to multivariate orthogonal polynomials, which is also based on orbit functions. Such polynomials can be constructed by the continuous and invertible change of variables

$$y_j = e^{2\pi i x_j}, \quad x_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (41)$$

Consider an A_n orbit function $C_\lambda(x)$, $S_\lambda(x)$ or $E_\lambda(x)$, when λ is given in the ω -basis and x is given in the α -basis. Each of these functions consists of summands $\prod_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i \mu_j x_j}$, where $\mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are coordinates of an orbit point μ . Then the summand is transformed by (41) into a monomial of the form $\prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{\mu_j}$. It is convenient to label these polynomials by non-negative integer coordinates $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ of the point $\lambda = m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2 + \dots m_n \omega_n$ and to denote the polynomial obtained from the orbit function C_λ as $P_{(m_1, \dots, m_n)}^C$ (analogously for S and E functions). Polynomials of two variables obtained from the orbit functions by the substitution (41) are already described in the literature [13], where they are derived from very different considerations. The detailed comparison is made in the following example.

Example 1. Consider the A_2 Weyl orbits of the lower weights $(0, m)_\omega$, $(m, 0)_\omega$ and the orbit of the generic point $(m_1, m_2)_\omega$, $m, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{(0,m)}(A_2) &= \{(0, m), (-m, 0), (m, -m)\}, & W_{(m,0)}(A_2) &= \{(m, 0), (-m, m), (0, -m)\}, \\ W_{(m_1, m_2)}(A_2) &= \{(m_1, m_2)^+, (-m_1, m_1+m_2)^-, (m_1+m_2, -m_2)^-, \\ &\quad (-m_2, -m_1)^-, (-m_1-m_2, m_1)^+, (m_2, -m_1-m_2)^+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $x = (x_1, x_2)$ is given in the α -basis, then the orbit functions assume the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(0,0)}(x) &= 1, & C_{(0,m)}(x) &= \overline{C_{(m,0)}(x)} = e^{-2\pi i m x_1} e^{2\pi i m x_1} e^{-2\pi i m x_2} e^{2\pi i m x_2}, \\ C_{(m_1, m_2)}(x) &= e^{2\pi i m_1 x_1} e^{2\pi i m_2 x_2} e^{-2\pi i m_1 x_1} e^{2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_2} e^{2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_1} e^{-2\pi i m_2 x_2} + \\ &\quad e^{-2\pi i m_2 x_1} e^{-2\pi i m_1 x_2} e^{-2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_1} e^{2\pi i m_1 x_2} e^{2\pi i m_2 x_1} e^{-2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_2}, & (42) \\ S_{(m_1, m_2)}(x) &= e^{2\pi i m_1 x_1} e^{2\pi i m_2 x_2} e^{-2\pi i m_1 x_1} e^{2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_2} e^{-2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_1} e^{-2\pi i m_2 x_2} - \\ &\quad e^{-2\pi i m_2 x_1} e^{-2\pi i m_1 x_2} e^{-2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_1} e^{2\pi i m_1 x_2} e^{2\pi i m_2 x_1} e^{-2\pi i (m_1+m_2) x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (41) we have the following corresponding polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(0,0)}^C &= 1, & P_{0,m}^C &= \overline{P_{0,m}^C} = y_1^{-m} + Y_1^m y_2^{-m} + y_2^m, \\ P_{(m_1, m_2)}^C &= y_1^{m_1} y_2^{m_2} + y_1^{-m_1} y_2^{(m_1+m_2)} + y_1^{(m_1+m_2)} y_2^{-m_2} + \\ &\quad y_1^{-m_1} y_2^{-m_2} + y_1^{-(m_1+m_2)} y_2^{m_1} + y_1^{m_2} y_2^{-(m_1+m_2)}, \\ P_{(m_1, m_2)}^S &= y_1^{m_1} y_2^{m_2} - y_1^{-m_1} y_2^{(m_1+m_2)} - y_1^{(m_1+m_2)} y_2^{-m_2} - \\ &\quad y_1^{-m_1} y_2^{-m_2} + y_1^{-(m_1+m_2)} y_2^{m_1} + y_1^{m_2} y_2^{-(m_1+m_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials e^+ and e^- given in (2.6) of [13](III) coincide with those in (42) whenever the correspondence $\sigma = 2\pi x_1$, $\tau = 2\pi x_2$ is set up. So, both the orbit functions polynomials of A_2 and $e^\pm$ are orthogonal on the interior of Steiner's hypocycloid.

It is noteworthy that the regular tessellation of the plane by equilateral triangles considered in [13] is the standard tiling of the weight lattice of A_2 . The fundamental region R of [13]

coincides with the fundamental region $F(A_2)$ in our notations. The corresponding isometry group is the affine Weyl group of A_2 .

Furthermore, continuing the comparison with the paper [13], we want to point out that orbit functions are eigenfunctions not only of the Laplace operator written in the appropriate basis, e.g. in ω -basis, the corresponding eigenvalues bring $-4\pi^2\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle$, where λ is the representative from the dominant Weyl chamber, which labels the orbit function. This property holds not only for the Lie algebra A_n and its Laplace operator, but also for the differential operators built from the elementary symmetric polynomials, see [8, 9].

An independent approach to the polynomials in two variables is proposed in [22], and the generalization of classical Chebyshev polynomials to the case of several variables is also presented in [4]. A detailed comparison would be a major task because the results are not explicit and contain no examples of polynomials.

5 Multivariate exponential functions

In this section, we consider one more class of special functions, which, as it will be shown, are closely related to orbit functions of A_n . Such a relation allows us to view orbit functions in the orthonormal basis, and to represent them in the form of determinants and permanents. At the same time, we obtain the straightforward procedure for constructing polynomials from multivariate exponential functions.

Definition 4. [12] For a fixed point $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n+1})_e$, such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_{n+1}$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} l_k = 0$, the symmetric multivariate exponential function D_λ^+ of $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})_e$ is defined as follows

$$D_\lambda^+(x) := \det^+ \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i l_1 x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_1 x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_1 x_{n+1}} \\ e^{2\pi i l_2 x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_2 x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_2 x_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (43)$$

Here, $\det^+$ is calculated as a conventional determinant, except that all of its monomial terms are taken with positive sign. It is also called *permanent* [14] or *antideterminant*.

It was shown in [12] that it suffices to consider $D_\lambda^+(x)$ on the hyperplane $x \in \mathcal{H}$ (see (2)). Furthermore, due to the following property of the permanent

$$\det^+(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m = \sum_{s \in S_m} a_{1,s(1)} a_{2,s(2)} \dots a_{m,s(m)} = \sum_{s \in S_m} a_{s(1),1} a_{s(2),2} \dots a_{s(m),m}$$

we have

$$D_\lambda^+(x) = \sum_{s \in S_{n+1}} e^{2\pi i l_1 x_{s(1)}} \dots e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_{s(n+1)}} = \sum_{s \in S_{n+1}} e^{2\pi i (\lambda, s(x))} = \sum_{s \in S_{n+1}} e^{2\pi i (s(\lambda), x)}.$$

Proposition 1. For all $\lambda, x \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have the following connection between the symmetric multivariate exponential functions in $n+1$ variables, and C orbit functions of A_n $D_\lambda^+(x) = kC_\lambda(x)$, where $k = \frac{|W|}{|W_\lambda|}$, $|W|$ and $|W_\lambda|$ are sizes of the Weyl group and Weyl orbit respectively. In particular, for generic points, $k = 1$.

Proof. Proof follows from the definitions of the functions C and D^+ (definitions 1 and 4 respectively) and properties of orbit functions formulated in Section 2.5.2. $\square$

Definition 5. [12] For a fixed point $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n+1})_e$, such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_{n+1}$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} l_k = 0$, the antisymmetric multivariate exponential function D_λ^- of $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})_e \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined as follows

$$D_\lambda^-(x) := \det \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i l_1 x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_1 x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_1 x_{n+1}} \\ e^{2\pi i l_2 x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_2 x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_2 x_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{s \in S_{n+1}} (\text{sgn } s) e^{2\pi i (s(\lambda), x)}, \quad (44)$$

where sgn is the permutation sign.

Proposition 2. For all generic points $\lambda \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have the following connection $D_\lambda^-(x) = S_\lambda(x)$.

The antisymmetric multivariate exponential functions D^- , and S orbit functions, equal zero for non-generic points.

Proof. Proof directly follows from the definitions of functions S and D^- (definitions 2 and 5 respectively), and properties of S functions formulated in Section 2.5.2. $\square$

Definition 6. [7] The alternating multivariate exponential function $D_\lambda^{\text{Alt}}(x)$, for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})_e$, $\lambda = (l_1, \dots, l_{n+1})_e$, is defined as the function

$$D_\lambda^{\text{Alt}}(x) := \text{sdet} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i l_1 x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_1 x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_1 x_{n+1}} \\ e^{2\pi i l_2 x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_2 x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_2 x_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_1} & e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

where Alt_{n+1} is the alternating group (even subgroup of S_{n+1}) and

$$\text{sdet} \left(e^{2\pi i l_j x_k} \right)_{j,k=1}^{n+1} := \sum_{w \in \text{Alt}_{n+1}} e^{2\pi i l_1 x_{w(1)}} e^{2\pi i l_2 x_{w(2)}} \dots e^{2\pi i l_{n+1} x_{w(n+1)}} = \sum_{w \in \text{Alt}_{n+1}} e^{2\pi i (\lambda, w(x))}.$$

Here, (λ, x) denotes the scalar product in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space.

Note that Alt_m consists of even substitutions of S_m , and is usually denoted as A_m ; here we change the notation in order to avoid confusion with simple Lie algebra A_n notations.

It was shown in [7] that it is sufficient to consider the function $D_\lambda^{\text{Alt}}(x)$ on the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}: x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n+1} = 0$ for λ , such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq l_3 \geq \dots \geq l_{n+1}$.

Alternating multivariate exponential functions are obviously connected with symmetric and antisymmetric multivariate exponential functions. This connection is the same as that of the cosine and sine, with the exponential function of one variable $D_\lambda^{\text{Alt}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(D_\lambda^+(x) + D_\lambda^-(x))$.

Proposition 3. For all generic points $\lambda \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the following relation between the alternative multivariate exponential functions D^{Alt} and E -orbit functions of A_n holds true: $D_\lambda^{\text{Alt}}(x) = E_\lambda(x)$.

For non-generic points λ , we have $E_\lambda(x) = C_\lambda(x)$ and, therefore, $E_\lambda(x) = k D_\lambda^+(x)$, where $k = \frac{|W|}{|W_\lambda|}$.

Proof. Proof directly follows from definitions 3 and 6, from the relation $E = \frac{1}{2}(C + S)$, and from the properties of orbit functions formulated in Section 2.5.2. $\square$

6 Concluding remarks

1. Consequences of the identification of W -invariant orbit functions of compact simple Lie groups and multivariable Chebyshev polynomials merit further exploitation. It is conceivable that Lie theory may become a backbone of a segment of the theory of orthogonal polynomials of many variables.

Some of the properties of orbit functions translate readily into properties of Chebyshev polynomials of many variables. However there are other properties whose discovery from the theory of polynomials is difficult to imagine. As an example, consider the decomposition of the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind into the sum of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. In one variable, it is a familiar problem that can be solved by elementary means. For two and more variables, the problem turns out to be equivalent to a more general question about representations of simple Lie groups. In general the coefficients of that sum are the dominant weight multiplicities. Again, simple specific cases can be worked out, but a sophisticated algorithm is required to deal with it in general [16]. In order to provide a solution for such a problem, extensive tables have been prepared [1] (see also references therein).

2. Our approach to the derivation of multidimensional orthogonal polynomials hinges on the knowledge of appropriate recursion relations. The basic mathematical property underlying the existence of the recursion relation is the complete decomposability of products of the orbit functions. Numerous examples of the decompositions of products of orbit functions, involving also other Lie groups than $SU(n)$, were shown elsewhere [8, 9]. An equivalent problem is the decomposition of products of Weyl group orbits [5].
3. Possibility to discretize the polynomials is a consequence of the known discretization of orbit functions. For orbit functions it is a simpler problem, in that it is carried out in the real Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. In principle, it carries over to the polynomials. But variables of the polynomials happen to be on the maximal torus of the underlying Lie group. Only in the case of A_1 , the variables are real (the imaginary unit multiplying the S -functions can be normalized away). For A_n with $n > 1$ the functions are complex valued. Practical aspects of discretization deserve to be thoroughly investigated.
4. For simplicity of formulation, we insisted throughout this paper that the underlying Lie group be simple. The extension to compact semisimple Lie group and their Lie algebras is straightforward. Thus, orbit functions are products of orbit functions of simple constituents, and different types of orbit functions can be mixed.
5. Polynomials formed from E -functions by the same substitution of variables should be equally interesting once $n > 1$. We know of no analogs of such polynomials in the standard theory of polynomials with more than one variable. Intuitively, they would be formed as ‘halves’ of Chebyshev polynomials although their domain of orthogonality is twice as large as that of Chebyshev polynomials [11].
6. Orbit functions have many other properties [8, 9, 11] that can now be rewritten as properties of Chebyshev polynomials. Let us point out just that they are eigenfunctions of appropriate Laplace operators with known eigenvalues.
7. Notions of multivariate trigonometric functions [10] lead us to the idea of new, yet to be defined classes of W -orbit functions based on trigonometric sine and cosine functions, hence also to new types of polynomials.

8. Analogs of orbit functions of Weyl groups can be introduced also for the finite Coxeter groups that are not Weyl groups of a simple Lie algebra. Many of the properties of orbit functions extend to these cases. Only their orthogonality, continuous or discrete, has not been shown so far.
9. Our choice of the n dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by requirement (2), is not the only possibility. A reasonable alternative appears to be setting $l_{n+1} = 0$ (orthogonal projection on $\mathbb{R}^n$).

Acknowledgements

The work was partially supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada. MITACS, and by the MIND Research Institute, Calif. Two of us, M.N. and A.T., are grateful for the hospitality extended to them at the Centre de Recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, where the work was carried out.

The authors are grateful to A. Kiselev and the anonymous Journal referee for critical remarks and comments on the previous version of this paper.

References

- [1] Bremner M.R., Moody R.V., Patera J., *Tables of dominant weight multiplicities for representations of simple Lie algebras*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1985, 340 pages.
- [2] Dunkl Ch., Xu Yu., *Orthogonal polynomials of several variables* Cambridge University Press, New York, 2008.
- [3] Dunn K.B., Lidl R., Multidimensional generalizations of the Chebyshev polynomials. I, II, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **56** (1980), no. 4, 154–159, 160–165.
- [4] Eier R., Lidl R., A class of orthogonal polynomials in k variables, *Math. Ann.*, **260** (1982), 93–99.
- [5] Háková L., Larouche M., Patera J., The rings of n -dimensional polytopes, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **41** (2008), 495202.
- [6] Hrivnák J., Patera J., On discretization of tori of compact simple Lie Groups, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** (2009), 385208 (26pp), math-ph/0905.2395.
- [7] Klimyk A., Patera J., Alternating group and multivariate exponential functions, in *Groups and Symmetries: From Neolithic Scots to John McKay*, *AMS-CRM Proceedings and Lectures Notes Series*, eds. J. Harnad and P. Winternitz, **47** (2009) 233–246; math-ph/0907.0601v1.
- [8] Klimyk A., Patera J., Orbit functions *SIGMA* **2**, 006, 60 pages, math-ph/0601037.
- [9] Klimyk A., Patera J., Antisymmetric orbit functions *SIGMA* **3** (2007), 023, 83 pages, math-ph/0702040v1.
- [10] Klimyk A., Patera J., (Anti)symmetric multidimensional trigonometric functions and the corresponding Fourier transforms, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007) 093504, 24 pages; arXiv:0705.4186v1
- [11] Klimyk A., Patera J., E -orbit functions, *SIGMA* **4** (2008), 002, 57 pages, math-ph/0801.0822v1.
- [12] Klimyk A. and Patera J., (Anti)symmetric multidimensional exponential functions and the corresponding Fourier transforms *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007), 10473–10489, math-ph/0705.3572v1.
- [13] Koornwinder T.H., Orthogonal polynomials in two variables which are eigenfunctions of two algebraically independent partial differential operators I-IV, *Nedrl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A.*, **77**, **36** (1974) 48-66, 357-381.
- [14] Minc H., *Permanents*, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1978.
- [15] Mason J.C., Handscomb D.C., *Chebyshev polynomials*, Chapman&Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.
- [16] Moody R.V., Patera J., Fast recursion formula for weight multiplicities, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7** (1982), 237-242.
- [17] Nesterenko M., Patera J., Orthogonal Polynomials of compact simple Lie groups, *in preparation*.
- [18] Patera J., Compact simple Lie groups and theirs C -, S -, and E -transforms, *SIGMA* **1** (2005), 025, 6 pages, math-ph/0512029.
- [19] Rivlin T.J., *The Chebyshev polynomials*, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [20] Shahat M.J., *Théorie Générale des Polynomes Orthogonaux de Tchebichef*, Mémorial des Sciences Mathématiques. fasc. LXVI Paris, 1934.
- [21] Suetin P.K., *Classical orthogonal polynomials*, Nauka, 1979 (In Russian).
- [22] Suetin P.K., *Orthogonal polynomials in two variables*, Gordon and Breach, 1999.